How to Sign Quantum Messages

Mohammed Barhoush and Louis Salvail

University of Montreal

June 20, 2025

What are digital signatures?

A digital signature (DS) scheme on classical messages consists of the following algorithms:

- KeyGen(1^{λ}): Generates a secret key sk and a verification key vk.
- Sign(sk, μ) : Outputs a signature σ for μ using sk.
- Verify(vk, μ' , σ'): Verifies whether σ' is a valid signature for μ' using vk and correspondingly outputs \top/\bot .

¹Rompel, J., 1990, April. One-way functions are necessary and sufficient for secure signatures.

What are digital signatures?

A digital signature (DS) scheme on classical messages consists of the following algorithms:

- KeyGen(1^λ): Generates a secret key sk and a verification key vk.
- Sign(sk, μ) : Outputs a signature σ for μ using sk.
- Verify(vk, μ' , σ'): Verifies whether σ' is a valid signature for μ' using vk and correspondingly outputs \top/\bot .

Digital signatures have many crucial applications such as in email certification, online transactions, and software distribution.

¹Rompel, J., 1990, April. One-way functions are necessary and sufficient for secure signatures.

What are digital signatures?

A digital signature (DS) scheme on classical messages consists of the following algorithms:

- KeyGen(1^{λ}): Generates a secret key sk and a verification key vk.
- Sign(sk, μ) : Outputs a signature σ for μ using sk.
- Verify(vk, μ' , σ'): Verifies whether σ' is a valid signature for μ' using vk and correspondingly outputs \top/\bot .

Digital signatures have many crucial applications such as in email certification, online transactions, and software distribution. Fortunately, DS for classical messages can be constructed from $\mbox{OWFs}\ ^1$

¹Rompel, J., 1990, April. One-way functions are necessary and sufficient for secure signatures.

²Barnum, H., Crépeau, C., Gottesman, D., Smith, A. and Tapp, A., 2002, November. Authentication of quantum messages.

³Alagic, G., Gagliardoni, T. and Majenz, C., 2021. Can you sign a quantum state?.

 Unlike in the classical setting, authentication of quantum message necessitates encryption².

²Barnum, H., Crépeau, C., Gottesman, D., Smith, A. and Tapp, A., 2002, November. Authentication of quantum messages.

³Alagic, G., Gagliardoni, T. and Majenz, C., 2021. Can you sign a quantum state?.

- Unlike in the classical setting, authentication of quantum message necessitates encryption².
- Any verification algorithm should obtain the message.
- This implies public-verifiability is impossible!

²Barnum, H., Crépeau, C., Gottesman, D., Smith, A. and Tapp, A., 2002, November. Authentication of quantum messages.

³Alagic, G., Gagliardoni, T. and Majenz, C., 2021. Can you sign a quantum state?.

- Unlike in the classical setting, authentication of quantum message necessitates encryption ².
- Any verification algorithm should obtain the message.
- This implies public-verifiability is impossible!
- In other words, signing quantum messages is impossible ³ (even under computational assumptions).

²Barnum, H., Crépeau, C., Gottesman, D., Smith, A. and Tapp, A., 2002, November. Authentication of quantum messages.

³Alagic, G., Gagliardoni, T. and Majenz, C., 2021. Can you sign a quantum state?.

Informal Idea

$$|\sigma\rangle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Verify}_{\mathsf{vk}}} |\mu\rangle \sim |\mu'\rangle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Verify}_{\mathsf{vk}}^\dagger} |\sigma'\rangle.$$

A Partial Solution: Signcryption

- Every user generates a pair of public-key encryption keys.
- If Alice wants to sign a message to Bob, she uses Bob's public encryption key to first encrypt the message.
- No public-verifiability as only Bob can validate the signature.

A Partial Solution: Signcryption

- Every user generates a pair of public-key encryption keys.
- If Alice wants to sign a message to Bob, she uses Bob's public encryption key to first encrypt the message.
- No public-verifiability as only Bob can validate the signature.

Is quantum authentication with public-verifiablity achievable?

A Partial Solution: Signcryption

- Every user generates a pair of public-key encryption keys.
- If Alice wants to sign a message to Bob, she uses Bob's public encryption key to first encrypt the message.
- No public-verifiability as only Bob can validate the signature.

Is quantum authentication with public-verifiablity achievable?

Yes, it is!

Our solutions is to add a time-dependence to the signature scheme.

Our solutions is to add a *time-dependence* to the signature scheme. We sample a key pair $(sk, vk) \leftarrow C.KeyGen(1^{\lambda})$ for a classical DS.

Our solutions is to add a *time-dependence* to the signature scheme. We sample a key pair (sk, vk) \leftarrow C.KeyGen(1 $^{\lambda}$) for a classical DS. Signing a quantum message is as follows Q.Sign(sk, $|\mu\rangle$):

Our solutions is to add a *time-dependence* to the signature scheme. We sample a key pair (sk, vk) \leftarrow C.KeyGen(1 $^{\lambda}$) for a classical DS. Signing a quantum message is as follows Q.Sign(sk, $|\mu\rangle$):

1. Sample a key k for a one-time *symmetric* authenticated encryption scheme on quantum messages (Q.Enc, Q.Dec).

Our solutions is to add a *time-dependence* to the signature scheme. We sample a key pair (sk, vk) \leftarrow C.KeyGen(1 $^{\lambda}$) for a classical DS. Signing a quantum message is as follows Q.Sign(sk, $|\mu\rangle$):

- 1. Sample a key k for a one-time *symmetric* authenticated encryption scheme on quantum messages (Q.Enc, Q.Dec).
- 2. Classically sign the current time and key $\sigma \leftarrow \text{C.Sign}(\text{sk}, (\textbf{t}, k))$.

Our solutions is to add a *time-dependence* to the signature scheme. We sample a key pair (sk, vk) \leftarrow C.KeyGen(1 $^{\lambda}$) for a classical DS. Signing a quantum message is as follows Q.Sign(sk, $|\mu\rangle$):

- 1. Sample a key k for a one-time symmetric authenticated encryption scheme on quantum messages (Q.Enc, Q.Dec).
- 2. Classically sign the current time and key $\sigma \leftarrow \text{C.Sign}(\text{sk}, (\textbf{t}, k))$.
- 3. Output $\rho := \mathsf{Q}.\mathsf{Enc}_k(|\mu\rangle)$ and a time-lock puzzle $Z := \mathsf{TLP}(1, (k, \mathsf{t}, \sigma)).$

Verify(vk, (ρ, Z)):

- 1. Take note of the current time t'.
- 2. Compute $(k, t, \sigma) \leftarrow Solve(Z)$.
- 3. Check that $t + 0.5 \ge t'$ and CS. Verify $(vk, (t, k), \sigma) = \top$.
- 4. Output Q.Dec_k(ρ').

Why the Impossibility Does Not Apply

$$|\sigma\rangle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Verify}_{\mathsf{vk}}} |\mu\rangle \sim |\mu'\rangle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Verify}_{\mathsf{vk}}^\dagger} |\sigma'\rangle.$$

Why the Impossibility Does Not Apply

$$|\sigma\rangle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Verify_{vk}}} \cdots \cdots \cdots |\mu\rangle \sim |\mu'\rangle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Verify_{vk}^{\dagger}}} |\sigma'\rangle.$$

Disadvantage

The problems with our scheme:

- 1. The signature expires i.e. you cannot reuse it after a while.
- 2. Time-lock puzzles are a heavy computational assumption that have only been constructed in the QROM.

By utilizing verification keys that evolve over time, we eliminate the need for TLPs in our construction. The idea is quite simple:

• For each time interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$, we use a different key k_i .

- For each time interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$, we use a different key k_i .
- To sign $|\mu\rangle$ at time $\bigcirc \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)$, simply output Q.Enc $_{k_i}(|\mu\rangle)$.

- For each time interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$, we use a different key k_i .
- To sign $|\mu\rangle$ at time $\bigcirc \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)$, simply output Q.Enc $_{k_i}(|\mu\rangle)$.
- At time \bigcirc : t_i , we announce k_i .

- For each time interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$, we use a different key k_i .
- To sign $|\mu\rangle$ at time $\bigcirc \in [t_{i-1},t_i)$, simply output Q.Enc $_{k_i}(|\mu\rangle)$.
- At time ${}^{(k)}$: t_i , we announce k_i .
- To verify a message received at time \bigcirc \in $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$, we store the signature state and wait for announcement of k_i . (During this time k_i is still hidden).

- For each time interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$, we use a different key k_i .
- To sign $|\mu\rangle$ at time $\bigcirc \in [t_{i-1},t_i)$, simply output Q.Enc $_{k_i}(|\mu\rangle)$.
- At time $\stackrel{(k)}{\smile}$: t_i , we announce k_i .
- To verify a message received at time \bigcirc \in $[t_{i-1}, t_i)$, we store the signature state and wait for announcement of k_i . (During this time k_i is still hidden).
- This leads to signatures from OWFs with dynamic verification keys.

Applications

We leverage time-dependent signatures with dynamic keys to achieve the following objectives, relying solely on OWFs:

 Authenticated Quantum Public Keys: We design a public-key encryption scheme featuring authenticated quantum public-keys that resist adversarial tampering.

Applications

We leverage time-dependent signatures with dynamic keys to achieve the following objectives, relying solely on OWFs:

- Authenticated Quantum Public Keys: We design a public-key encryption scheme featuring authenticated quantum public-keys that resist adversarial tampering.
- Public-Key Quantum Money: We construct a time-dependent public-key quantum money scheme.

Alternative Solution: Bounded Quantum Storage Model

- In this model, an adversary ${\cal A}$ is limited with respect to its quantum memory.
- A is never restricted with respect to its computational power or classical memory.
- Our result: We build information-theoretically secure signatures for quantum messages in this model (no time dependence or computational assumptions required).

Why the Impossibility Does Not Apply

$$|\sigma
angle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Verify_{vk}}} |\mu
angle \sim |\mu'
angle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Verify_{vk}^{\dagger}}} |\sigma'
angle$$

Thanks for listening!